

The British Representation Study, 1997

Frequency Distribution

Q1 Party

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Conservative	1	295	29.5	29.5	29.5
Labour	2	333	33.3	33.3	62.9
Lib-Dem	3	285	28.5	28.5	91.4
SNP	4	41	4.1	4.1	95.5
Plaid Cymru	5	22	2.2	2.2	97.7
Green	6	23	2.3	2.3	100.0

Total 999 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 999 Missing cases 0

Q2A1 Member of Parliament?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	821	82.2	82.2	82.2
Yes	1	178	17.8	17.8	100.0

Total 999 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 999 Missing cases 0

Q2B1 Prospective candidate?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	152	15.2	15.3	15.3
Yes	1	844	84.5	84.7	100.0
	9	3	.3	Missing	

Total 999 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 996 Missing cases 3

Q2C1 Seeking adoption by a seat?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	993	99.4	99.7	99.7
Yes	1	3	.3	.3	100.0
	9	3	.3	Missing	

Total 999 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 996 Missing cases 3

Q2D Retiring from Parliament?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	997	99.8	100.0	100.0
	9	2	.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	997	Missing cases	2		

Q3A Ever stood for Parliament?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never before	0	538	53.9	54.6	54.6
Once	1	240	24.0	24.3	78.9
Twice	2	89	8.9	9.0	87.9
Three times	3	43	4.3	4.4	92.3
Four times	4	32	3.2	3.2	95.5
Five or more	5	44	4.4	4.5	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	12	1.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	986	Missing cases	13		

Q3B Ever been elected an MP?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never before	0	809	81.0	82.2	82.2
Once	1	53	5.3	5.4	87.6
Twice	2	45	4.5	4.6	92.2
Three times	3	35	3.5	3.6	95.7
Four times	4	17	1.7	1.7	97.5
Five or more	5	25	2.5	2.5	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	14	1.4	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	984	Missing cases	15		

Q4A Held local party office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	84	8.4	8.4	8.4
Yes	1	913	91.4	91.6	100.0
	9	2	.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	997	Missing cases	2		

Q4B Held regional party office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	655	65.6	65.6	65.6
Yes	1	343	34.3	34.4	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q4C Held national party office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	817	81.8	81.9	81.9
Yes	1	181	18.1	18.1	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q4D Candidate for local government?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	181	18.1	18.2	18.2
Yes	1	816	81.7	81.8	100.0
	9	2	.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 997 Missing cases 2

Q4E Elected to local government?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	405	40.5	40.6	40.6
Yes	1	592	59.3	59.4	100.0
	9	2	.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 997 Missing cases 2

Q4F Candidate for European parliament?

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
No	0	929	93.0	93.1	93.1
Yes	1	69	6.9	6.9	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

 Q4G Elected to European parliament?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	993	99.4	99.5	99.5
Yes	1	5	.5	.5	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

 Q4H Served on local public body?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	451	45.1	45.2	45.2
Yes	1	547	54.8	54.8	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

 Q4I Served on national public body?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	881	88.2	88.3	88.3
Yes	1	117	11.7	11.7	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

 Q4J Held local pressure-group office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	591	59.2	59.2	59.2
Yes	1	407	40.7	40.8	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q4K Held national pressure-group office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	816	81.7	81.8	81.8
Yes	1	182	18.2	18.2	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q4L Held other community-group office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	519	52.0	52.0	52.0
Yes	1	479	47.9	48.0	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q4M Held professional-group office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	780	78.1	78.2	78.2
Yes	1	217	21.7	21.8	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 997 Missing cases 2

Q4N Held trade union office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	709	71.0	71.0	71.0
Yes	1	289	28.9	29.0	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q40 Held womans organisation office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	942	94.3	94.4	94.4
Yes	1	56	5.6	5.6	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q4P Ever employed by party office?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	927	92.8	92.9	92.9
Yes	1	71	7.1	7.1	100.0
	9	1	.1	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 998 Missing cases 1

Q5A Most important reason for candidacy

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
To support my party	1	223	22.3	22.7	22.7
Asked to stand	2	49	4.9	5.0	27.7
Serve my country	3	234	23.4	23.8	51.5
To represent this pa	4	120	12.0	12.2	63.7
To help people	5	280	28.0	28.5	92.3
Other	6	75	7.5	7.6	99.9
	53	1	.1	.1	100.0
	88	2	.2	Missing	
	99	15	1.5	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 982 Missing cases 17

Q5B Next most important reason for candidacy

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
To support my party	1	269	26.9	28.8	28.8
Asked to stand	2	68	6.8	7.3	36.1
Serve my country	3	137	13.7	14.7	50.8
To represent this pa	4	131	13.1	14.0	64.8
To help people	5	258	25.8	27.7	92.5
Other	6	69	6.9	7.4	100.0
	88	1	.1	Missing	
	99	66	6.5	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 933 Missing cases 66

 Q7A Spouse/partner/family support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	460	46.0	46.9	46.9
Positive	2	355	35.5	36.2	83.2
Neutral	3	125	12.5	12.8	95.9
Negative	4	34	3.4	3.5	99.4
Very negative	5	6	.6	.6	100.0
Not applicable	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	17	1.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 980 Missing cases 19

 Q7B Womens groups support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	85	8.5	16.5	16.5
Positive	2	123	12.3	23.8	40.3
Neutral	3	296	29.6	57.4	97.7
Negative	4	8	.8	1.6	99.2
Very negative	5	4	.4	.8	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
Not applicable	8	20	2.0	Missing	
	9	462	46.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 516 Missing cases 483

 Q7C Community groups support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	99	9.9	15.2	15.2
Positive	2	296	29.6	45.5	60.7
Neutral	3	256	25.6	39.3	100.0
Not applicable	8	15	1.5	Missing	
	9	333	33.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 651 Missing cases 348

 Q7D Business associates support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	119	11.9	15.3	15.3
Positive	2	366	36.6	47.2	62.5
Neutral	3	250	25.0	32.2	94.7
Negative	4	38	3.8	4.9	99.6

Very negative	5	3	.3	.4	100.0
Not applicable	8	8	.8	Missing	
	9	215	21.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 776 Missing cases 223

Q7E Trade unionists support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	122	12.2	22.3	22.3
Positive	2	148	14.8	27.0	49.3
Neutral	3	257	25.7	46.9	96.2
Negative	4	14	1.4	2.6	98.7
Very negative	5	7	.7	1.3	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
Not applicable	8	24	2.4	Missing	
	9	425	42.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 548 Missing cases 451

Q7F Party members support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	632	63.3	65.6	65.6
Positive	2	309	30.9	32.1	97.7
Neutral	3	21	2.1	2.2	99.9
Negative	4	1	.1	.1	100.0
Not applicable	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	35	3.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 963 Missing cases 36

Q7G Party agents support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	421	42.1	51.8	51.8
Positive	2	259	25.9	31.9	83.7
Neutral	3	123	12.3	15.1	98.9
Negative	4	6	.6	.7	99.6
Very negative	5	3	.3	.4	100.0
Not applicable	8	5	.5	Missing	
	9	182	18.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 812 Missing cases 187

Q7H Employer support

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very positive	1	101	10.1	13.9	13.9
Positive	2	230	23.0	31.6	45.5
Neutral	3	332	33.2	45.7	91.2
Negative	4	48	4.8	6.6	97.8
Very negative	5	16	1.6	2.2	100.0
Not applicable	8	22	2.2	Missing	
	9	250	25.0	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 727 Missing cases 272

Q8B3 No. women on short-list

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	409	40.9	45.1	45.1
	1	334	33.4	36.9	82.0
	2	103	10.3	11.4	93.4
	3	31	3.1	3.4	96.8
	4	17	1.7	1.9	98.7
	5	4	.4	.4	99.1
	6	4	.4	.4	99.6
	8	1	.1	.1	99.7
	9	1	.1	.1	99.8
	10	2	.2	.2	100.0
DK	888	37	3.7	Missing	
	999	56	5.6	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 906 Missing cases 93

Q8B5 No. minorities on short-list

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	773	77.4	86.4	86.4
	1	98	9.8	10.9	97.3
	2	11	1.1	1.2	98.5
	3	2	.2	.2	98.8
	4	1	.1	.1	98.9
	7	2	.2	.2	99.1
	9	1	.1	.1	99.2
	10	1	.1	.1	99.3
	99	5	.5	.6	100.0
DK	888	40	3.9	Missing	
	999	65	6.5	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 895 Missing cases 104

Q9A Was process democratic?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

Very	1	673	67.4	68.9	68.9
Quite	2	276	27.6	28.2	97.1
Not very	3	24	2.4	2.5	99.6
Not at all	4	4	.4	.4	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	19	1.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 977 Missing cases 22

 Q9B Was process efficient?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very	1	489	48.9	51.2	51.2
Quite	2	385	38.5	40.3	91.5
Not very	3	75	7.5	7.9	99.4
Not at all	4	6	.6	.6	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	41	4.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 955 Missing cases 44

 Q9C Was process complicated?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very	1	54	5.4	5.9	5.9
Quite	2	196	19.6	21.4	27.2
Not very	3	391	39.1	42.6	69.8
Not at all	4	277	27.7	30.2	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	78	7.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 918 Missing cases 81

 Q9D Was process fair?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very	1	595	59.6	62.9	62.9
Quite	2	323	32.3	34.1	97.0
Not very	3	20	2.0	2.1	99.2
Not at all	4	8	.8	.8	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	50	5.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 946 Missing cases 53

 Q10A Influence of national party leaders

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far too little	1	21	2.1	2.2	2.2
Too little	2	92	9.2	9.6	11.8
About right	3	757	75.8	78.9	90.7
Too great	4	71	7.1	7.4	98.1
Far too great	5	17	1.7	1.8	99.9
	88	1	.1	.1	100.0
Not applicable	8	5	.5	Missing	
	9	35	3.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	959	Missing cases	40		

Q10B Influence of regional officers

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far too little	1	7	.7	.7	.7
Too little	2	66	6.6	6.9	7.6
About right	3	772	77.3	80.5	88.1
Too great	4	101	10.1	10.5	98.6
Far too great	5	12	1.2	1.3	99.9
	88	1	.1	.1	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	37	3.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	959	Missing cases	40		

Q10C Influence of constituency officers

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far too little	1	3	.3	.3	.3
Too little	2	39	3.9	4.0	4.3
About right	3	806	80.7	82.8	87.2
Too great	4	109	10.9	11.2	98.4
Far too great	5	16	1.6	1.6	100.0
Not applicable	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	24	2.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	973	Missing cases	26		

Q10D Influence of local party officers

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far too little	1	11	1.1	1.1	1.1
Too little	2	134	13.4	13.8	14.9
About right	3	780	78.1	80.4	95.4
Too great	4	37	3.7	3.8	99.2
Far too great	5	8	.8	.8	100.0

Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing
	9	26	2.6	Missing
		-----	-----	-----
Total	999		100.0	100.0

Valid cases 970 Missing cases 29

Q10E Influence of trade unions

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far too little	1	10	1.0	1.5	1.5
Too little	2	58	5.8	8.7	10.3
About right	3	497	49.7	75.0	85.2
Too great	4	68	6.8	10.3	95.5
Far too great	5	30	3.0	4.5	100.0
Not applicable	8	48	4.8	Missing	
	9	288	28.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total	999		100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 663 Missing cases 336

Q10F Influence of womens organizations

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far too little	1	20	2.0	2.8	2.8
Too little	2	104	10.4	14.8	17.6
About right	3	522	52.3	74.3	91.9
Too great	4	43	4.3	6.1	98.0
Far too great	5	14	1.4	2.0	100.0
Not applicable	8	33	3.3	Missing	
	9	263	26.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total	999		100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 703 Missing cases 296

Q11A Importance of living in constituency

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	388	38.8	44.4	44.4
Fairly important	2	97	9.7	11.1	55.5
Not very important	3	107	10.7	12.2	67.7
Not at all important	4	282	28.2	32.3	100.0
Not applicable	8	4	.4	Missing	
	9	121	12.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total	999		100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 874 Missing cases 125

Q11B Importance of seats close to home

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	373	37.3	43.8	43.8
Fairly important	2	232	23.2	27.3	71.1
Not very important	3	97	9.7	11.4	82.5
Not at all important	4	149	14.9	17.5	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	145	14.5	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 851 Missing cases 148

Q11C Importance of seats in region

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	309	30.9	38.3	38.3
Fairly important	2	222	22.2	27.5	65.9
Not very important	3	103	10.3	12.8	78.7
Not at all important	4	172	17.2	21.3	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	190	19.0	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 806 Missing cases 193

Q11D Importance of proximity to Westminster

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	7	.7	.9	.9
Fairly important	2	37	3.7	4.7	5.5
Not very important	3	138	13.8	17.4	22.9
Not at all important	4	612	61.3	77.1	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	202	20.2	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 794 Missing cases 205

Q11E Importance of knowing party members

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	296	29.6	33.6	33.6
Fairly important	2	205	20.5	23.3	56.9
Not very important	3	141	14.1	16.0	72.9
Not at all important	4	239	23.9	27.1	100.0
Not applicable	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	116	11.6	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 881 Missing cases 118

 Q11F Importance of being asked to apply

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	291	29.1	33.7	33.7
Fairly important	2	179	17.9	20.7	54.4
Not very important	3	107	10.7	12.4	66.8
Not at all important	4	287	28.7	33.2	100.0
Not applicable	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	133	13.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 864 Missing cases 135

 Q11G Importance of confidence of winning

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	354	35.4	39.1	39.1
Fairly important	2	210	21.0	23.2	62.3
Not very important	3	160	16.0	17.7	79.9
Not at all important	4	182	18.2	20.1	100.0
Not applicable	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	92	9.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 906 Missing cases 93

 Q11H Importance of gaining experience

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	269	26.9	31.9	31.9
Fairly important	2	272	27.2	32.2	64.1
Not very important	3	134	13.4	15.9	80.0
Not at all important	4	169	16.9	20.0	100.0
Not applicable	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	153	15.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 844 Missing cases 155

 Q11I [Labour] Importance of choosing all-wome

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	5	.5	2.9	2.9
Fairly important	2	12	1.2	6.9	9.8
Not very important	3	27	2.7	15.5	25.3
Not at all important	4	130	13.0	74.7	100.0
Not applicable	8	665	66.6	Missing	
	9	160	16.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	

Total 999 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 174 Missing cases 825

Q11J [Labour] Importance of avoiding all-wome

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very important	1	43	4.3	22.2	22.2
Fairly important	2	4	.4	2.1	24.2
Not very important	3	20	2.0	10.3	34.5
Not at all important	4	127	12.7	65.5	100.0
Not applicable	8	665	66.6	Missing	
	9	140	14.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 194 Missing cases 805

Q12A Finding out about application process wa

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very easy	1	456	45.6	46.5	46.5
Fairly easy	2	421	42.1	42.9	89.4
Fairly difficult	3	89	8.9	9.1	98.5
Very difficult	4	15	1.5	1.5	100.0
Not applicable	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	15	1.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 981 Missing cases 18

Q12B Producing application form was...

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very easy	1	324	32.4	33.4	33.4
Fairly easy	2	485	48.5	50.0	83.4
Fairly difficult	3	143	14.3	14.7	98.1
Very difficult	4	18	1.8	1.9	100.0
Not applicable	8	6	.6	Missing	
	9	23	2.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 970 Missing cases 29

Q12C Selecting seats was...

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very easy	1	457	45.7	48.5	48.5
Fairly easy	2	397	39.7	42.1	90.6

Fairly difficult	3	75	7.5	8.0	98.5
Very difficult	4	14	1.4	1.5	100.0
Not applicable	8	4	.4	Missing	
	9	52	5.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 943 Missing cases 56

 Q12D Getting short-listed was...

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very easy	1	297	29.7	30.6	30.6
Fairly easy	2	444	44.4	45.7	76.3
Fairly difficult	3	185	18.5	19.1	95.4
Very difficult	4	45	4.5	4.6	100.0
Not applicable	8	4	.4	Missing	
	9	24	2.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 971 Missing cases 28

 Q12E Presenting myself for interview was...

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very easy	1	324	32.4	33.6	33.6
Fairly easy	2	478	47.8	49.5	83.1
Fairly difficult	3	147	14.7	15.2	98.3
Very difficult	4	16	1.6	1.7	100.0
Not applicable	8	4	.4	Missing	
	9	30	3.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 965 Missing cases 34

 Q12F Meeting expenses was...

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very easy	1	385	38.5	40.4	40.4
Fairly easy	2	425	42.5	44.5	84.9
Fairly difficult	3	109	10.9	11.4	96.3
Very difficult	4	35	3.5	3.7	100.0
Not applicable	8	9	.9	Missing	
	9	36	3.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 954 Missing cases 45

 Q12G Finding time for interviews was...

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very easy	1	345	34.5	35.9	35.9
Fairly easy	2	442	44.2	46.0	81.9
Fairly difficult	3	143	14.3	14.9	96.8
Very difficult	4	31	3.1	3.2	100.0
Not applicable	8	4	.4	Missing	
	9	34	3.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 961 Missing cases 38

Q13A Expected winner in constituency

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Conservative	1	375	37.5	38.7	38.7
Labour	2	453	45.3	46.7	85.4
Lib-Dem	3	121	12.1	12.5	97.8
SNP	4	16	1.6	1.6	99.5
Plaid Cymru	5	4	.4	.4	99.9
Green	6	1	.1	.1	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	28	2.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 970 Missing cases 29

Q13B Expected second-place in constituency

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.1	.1	.1
Conservative	1	436	43.6	45.8	46.0
Labour	2	268	26.8	28.2	74.1
Lib-Dem	3	190	19.0	20.0	94.1
SNP	4	41	4.1	4.3	98.4
Plaid Cymru	5	14	1.4	1.5	99.9
Green	6	1	.1	.1	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	47	4.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 951 Missing cases 48

Q13C Expected closeness of next election

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Close result	1	522	52.3	53.3	53.3
Win easily	2	400	40.0	40.9	94.2
DK	3	57	5.7	5.8	100.0
	9	20	2.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 979 Missing cases 20

 Q14A Hrs/wk on casework of Westminster

Valid cases 160 Missing cases 839

 Q14B Hrs/wk attending floor debates

Valid cases 158 Missing cases 841

 Q14C Hrs/wk on select-committee work

Valid cases 147 Missing cases 852

 Q14D Hrs/wk on standing-committee work

Valid cases 146 Missing cases 853

 Q14E Hrs/wk on backbench party committee work

Valid cases 152 Missing cases 847

 Q14F Hrs/wk in meetings/other MPs

Valid cases 159 Missing cases 840

 Q14G Hrs/wk in meetings/lobbyists

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	30	3.0	19.4	19.4
	1	32	3.2	20.6	40.0
	2	34	3.4	21.9	61.9
	3	11	1.1	7.1	69.0
	4	15	1.5	9.7	78.7
	5	23	2.3	14.8	93.5
	6	6	.6	3.9	97.4
	9	1	.1	.6	98.1
	10	3	.3	1.9	100.0
	.	2	.2	Missing	
Not applicable	88	586	58.7	Missing	
	99	256	25.6	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

 Valid cases 155 Missing cases 844

 Q14H Hrs/wk in meetings/group reps, delegates

Valid cases 153 Missing cases 846

Q14I Hrs/wk on casework of constituency

Valid cases 156 Missing cases 843

Q14J Hrs/wk holding constituency surgeries

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	3	.3	1.9	1.9
	1	13	1.3	8.3	10.2
	2	44	4.4	28.0	38.2
	3	43	4.3	27.4	65.6
	4	30	3.0	19.1	84.7
	5	12	1.2	7.6	92.4
	6	8	.8	5.1	97.5
	7	2	.2	1.3	98.7
	8	2	.2	1.3	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
Not applicable	88	586	58.7	Missing	
	99	255	25.5	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 157 Missing cases 842

Q14K Hrs/wk attending local party meetings

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	4	.4	2.6	2.6
	1	46	4.6	29.9	32.5
	2	51	5.1	33.1	65.6
	3	19	1.9	12.3	77.9
	4	16	1.6	10.4	88.3
	5	5	.5	3.2	91.6
	6	9	.9	5.8	97.4
	7	2	.2	1.3	98.7
	10	2	.2	1.3	100.0
	.	5	.5	Missing	
Not applicable	88	586	58.7	Missing	
	99	254	25.4	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 154 Missing cases 845

Q14L Hrs/wk attending other constituency func

Valid cases 158 Missing cases 841

Q14M Hrs/wk travelling between Westminster/co

Valid cases 156 Missing cases 843

 Q14N Hrs/wk other activities
 Valid cases 144 Missing cases 855

Q14O Hrs/wk TOTAL
 Valid cases 166 Missing cases 833

Q15A How many letters/wk from constituents?
 Valid cases 240 Missing cases 759

Q15B How many letters/wk from others?
 Valid cases 232 Missing cases 767

Q15C How many surgeries/mo do you hold?
 Valid cases 229 Missing cases 770

Q16A Most MPs have a high moral code

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	75	7.5	8.2	8.2
Agree	2	554	55.5	60.3	68.5
Disagree	3	264	26.4	28.8	97.3
Strongly disagree	4	25	2.5	2.7	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	80	8.0	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 918 Missing cases 81

Q16B "Sleaze" problem overblown

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	169	16.9	18.2	18.2
Agree	2	255	25.5	27.5	45.7
Disagree	3	396	39.6	42.7	88.4
Strongly disagree	4	108	10.8	11.6	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	70	7.0	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 928 Missing cases 71

Q16C Most MPs will tell lies

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	55	5.5	6.0	6.0
Agree	2	425	42.5	46.5	52.6
Disagree	3	400	40.0	43.8	96.4
Strongly disagree	4	33	3.3	3.6	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	85	8.5	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 913 Missing cases 86

Q16D British public life relatively uncorrupt

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	255	25.5	27.7	27.7
Agree	2	461	46.1	50.2	77.9
Disagree	3	178	17.8	19.4	97.3
Strongly disagree	4	25	2.5	2.7	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	79	7.9	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 919 Missing cases 80

Q16E Politicians moral standards declining

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	73	7.3	8.0	8.0
Agree	2	426	42.6	46.5	54.5
Disagree	3	372	37.2	40.6	95.1
Strongly disagree	4	45	4.5	4.9	100.0
	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	80	8.0	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 916 Missing cases 83

Q16F Most MPs make money improperly

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	24	2.4	2.6	2.6
Agree	2	97	9.7	10.5	13.2
Disagree	3	548	54.9	59.6	72.7
Strongly disagree	4	251	25.1	27.3	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	78	7.8	Missing	

		Total	999	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	920	Missing cases	79		

Q16G MPs should have another paid job

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	98	9.8	10.7	10.7
Agree	2	172	17.2	18.7	29.4
Disagree	3	349	34.9	38.0	67.4
Strongly disagree	4	300	30.0	32.6	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	79	7.9	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	919	Missing cases	80		

Q16H Parties should be government funded

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	208	20.8	22.6	22.6
Agree	2	345	34.5	37.5	60.0
Disagree	3	195	19.5	21.2	81.2
Strongly disagree	4	173	17.3	18.8	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	77	7.7	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	921	Missing cases	78		

Q16I MPs should be banned from outside intere

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	210	21.0	22.9	22.9
Agree	2	238	23.8	25.9	48.7
Disagree	3	313	31.3	34.1	82.8
Strongly disagree	4	158	15.8	17.2	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	79	7.9	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	919	Missing cases	80		

Q16J Donations to party should be kept privat

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	161	16.1	17.6	17.6

Agree	2	232	23.2	25.3	42.9
Disagree	3	317	31.7	34.6	77.4
Strongly disagree	4	207	20.7	22.6	100.0
	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	80	8.0	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 917 Missing cases 82

 Q16K MPs greatly under-rewarded

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	124	12.4	13.5	13.5
Agree	2	398	39.8	43.3	56.7
Disagree	3	357	35.7	38.8	95.5
Strongly disagree	4	41	4.1	4.5	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	78	7.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 920 Missing cases 79

 Q16L Donations to party should be limited

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	139	13.9	15.0	15.0
Agree	2	304	30.4	32.9	47.9
Disagree	3	330	33.0	35.7	83.6
Strongly disagree	4	152	15.2	16.4	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	73	7.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 925 Missing cases 74

 Q16M Parliamentary careers increasingly unatt

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	92	9.2	10.0	10.0
Agree	2	420	42.0	45.5	55.4
Disagree	3	359	35.9	38.9	94.3
Strongly disagree	4	53	5.3	5.7	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	74	7.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 924 Missing cases 75

 Q16N MPs should vote w/party, regardless of c

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	15	1.5	1.6	1.6
Agree	2	95	9.5	10.3	11.9
Disagree	3	552	55.3	59.9	71.9
Strongly disagree	4	259	25.9	28.1	100.0
	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	76	7.6	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	921	Missing cases	78		

Q160 MPs should vote w/party, regardless of n

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	11	1.1	1.2	1.2
Agree	2	60	6.0	6.6	7.9
Disagree	3	490	49.0	54.2	62.1
Strongly disagree	4	343	34.3	37.9	100.0
	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	93	9.3	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	904	Missing cases	95		

Q17A Should MPs accept: free lunch?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Right	1	624	62.5	67.3	67.3
Not right	2	212	21.2	22.9	90.2
DK	3	91	9.1	9.8	100.0
	9	72	7.2	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	927	Missing cases	72		

Q17B Should MPs accept: payment for asking fl

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Right	1	4	.4	.4	.4
Not right	2	928	92.9	99.3	99.7
DK	3	3	.3	.3	100.0
	9	64	6.4	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	935	Missing cases	64		

Q17C Should MPs accept: bottle of wine/whisky

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Right	1	363	36.3	39.3	39.3
Not right	2	485	48.5	52.5	91.9
DK	3	75	7.5	8.1	100.0
	9	76	7.6	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 923 Missing cases 76

Q17D Should MPs accept: free holiday abroad?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Right	1	30	3.0	3.2	3.2
Not right	2	882	88.3	94.5	97.7
DK	3	21	2.1	2.3	100.0
	9	66	6.6	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 933 Missing cases 66

Q17E Should MPs accept: payments for parliame

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Right	1	192	19.2	20.6	20.6
Not right	2	682	68.3	73.3	94.0
DK	3	56	5.6	6.0	100.0
	9	69	6.9	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 930 Missing cases 69

Q17F Should MPs accept: free tickets to sport

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Right	1	296	29.6	32.0	32.0
Not right	2	552	55.3	59.7	91.7
DK	3	77	7.7	8.3	100.0
	9	74	7.4	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 925 Missing cases 74

Q17G Should MPs accept: money/gifts related t

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Right	1	30	3.0	3.2	3.2
Not right	2	872	87.3	94.0	97.2
DK	3	26	2.6	2.8	100.0
	9	71	7.1	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 928 Missing cases 71

 Q17H Should MPs accept: payment to spouse for

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Right	1	283	28.3	30.7	30.7
Not right	2	561	56.2	60.8	91.4
DK	3	79	7.9	8.6	100.0
	9	76	7.6	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 923 Missing cases 76

 Q18A Should Parliament have... women MPs?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Many more	1	664	66.5	74.0	74.0
A few more	2	172	17.2	19.2	93.2
Same as now	3	52	5.2	5.8	99.0
A few less	4	2	.2	.2	99.2
Many less	5	7	.7	.8	100.0
	8	4	.4	Missing	
	9	98	9.8	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 897 Missing cases 102

 Q18B Should Parliament have... working-class

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Many more	1	371	37.1	43.9	43.9
A few more	2	245	24.5	29.0	72.8
Same as now	3	217	21.7	25.7	98.5
A few less	4	8	.8	.9	99.4
Many less	5	5	.5	.6	100.0
	8	4	.4	Missing	
	9	149	14.9	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 846 Missing cases 153

 Q18C Should Parliament have... ethnic-minorit

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Many more	1	470	47.0	53.1	53.1
A few more	2	331	33.1	37.4	90.5
Same as now	3	81	8.1	9.2	99.7
A few less	4	1	.1	.1	99.8
Many less	5	2	.2	.2	100.0
	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	111	11.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 885 Missing cases 114

 Q18D Should Parliament have... Scottish MPs

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Many more	1	42	4.2	4.8	4.8
A few more	2	43	4.3	4.9	9.6
Same as now	3	368	36.8	41.8	51.4
A few less	4	283	28.3	32.1	83.5
Many less	5	145	14.5	16.5	100.0
	8	6	.6	Missing	
	9	112	11.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 881 Missing cases 118

 Q19A Party training programmes for women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly approve	1	511	51.2	54.9	54.9
Approve	2	321	32.1	34.5	89.5
Disapprove	3	78	7.8	8.4	97.8
Strongly disapprove	4	20	2.0	2.2	100.0
	9	69	6.9	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 930 Missing cases 69

 Q19B All women short-lists

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly approve	1	77	7.7	8.3	8.3
Approve	2	116	11.6	12.5	20.8
Disapprove	3	317	31.7	34.2	55.0
Strongly disapprove	4	418	41.8	45.0	100.0
	9	71	7.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 928 Missing cases 71

 Q19C Postive quotas/affirmative action for wo

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly approve	1	122	12.2	13.2	13.2
Approve	2	277	27.7	30.0	43.2
Disapprove	3	246	24.6	26.7	69.9
Strongly disapprove	4	278	27.8	30.1	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	75	7.5	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 923 Missing cases 76

 Q19D Better childcare facilities in Parliamen

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly approve	1	514	51.5	55.4	55.4
Approve	2	309	30.9	33.3	88.8
Disapprove	3	62	6.2	6.7	95.5
Strongly disapprove	4	42	4.2	4.5	100.0
	9	72	7.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 927 Missing cases 72

 Q19E Changing hours of parliamentary sittings

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly approve	1	490	49.0	52.8	52.8
Approve	2	284	28.4	30.6	83.4
Disapprove	3	103	10.3	11.1	94.5
Strongly disapprove	4	51	5.1	5.5	100.0
	9	71	7.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 928 Missing cases 71

 Q19F Financial support for women candidates

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly approve	1	99	9.9	10.8	10.8
Approve	2	190	19.0	20.8	31.7
Disapprove	3	399	39.9	43.7	75.4
Strongly disapprove	4	225	22.5	24.6	100.0
	9	86	8.6	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 913 Missing cases 86

Q19G Reserved seats for women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly approve	1	39	3.9	4.2	4.2
Approve	2	49	4.9	5.3	9.5
Disapprove	3	368	36.8	39.8	49.4
Strongly disapprove	4	467	46.7	50.5	99.9
	5	1	.1	.1	100.0
	9	75	7.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 924 Missing cases 75

Q20 Where would you most like to be in 10 yr

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Member of Parliament	1	316	31.6	33.8	33.8
Member of govt	2	410	41.0	43.9	77.6
Member of European p	3	41	4.1	4.4	82.0
Head of pvt company	4	11	1.1	1.2	83.2
In House of Lords	5	20	2.0	2.1	85.3
Retired from public	6	49	4.9	5.2	90.6
Other	7	87	8.7	9.3	99.9
	9	1	.1	.1	100.0
DK	88	7	.7	Missing	
	99	57	5.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 935 Missing cases 64

Q21A Effect of boundary changes on winning pa

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far harder	1	8	.8	.9	.9
Somewhat harder	2	57	5.7	6.4	7.4
No difference	3	734	73.5	83.0	90.4
Somewhat easier	4	67	6.7	7.6	98.0
Far easier	5	18	1.8	2.0	100.0
Not applicable	8	28	2.8	Missing	
	9	87	8.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 884 Missing cases 115

Q21B Effect of boundary changes on raising mo

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Far harder	1	26	2.6	2.9	2.9
Somewhat harder	2	125	12.5	14.1	17.0
No difference	3	640	64.1	72.2	89.2
Somewhat easier	4	90	9.0	10.1	99.3
Far easier	5	6	.6	.7	100.0
Not applicable	8	26	2.6	Missing	
	9	86	8.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 887 Missing cases 112

Q21C Effect of boundary changes on recruiting

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far harder	1	28	2.8	3.2	3.2
Somewhat harder	2	152	15.2	17.1	20.3
No difference	3	571	57.2	64.4	84.7
Somewhat easier	4	130	13.0	14.7	99.3
Far easier	5	6	.6	.7	100.0
Not applicable	8	26	2.6	Missing	
	9	86	8.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 887 Missing cases 112

Q21D Effect of boundary changes on creating e

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far harder	1	30	3.0	3.4	3.4
Somewhat harder	2	188	18.8	21.2	24.5
No difference	3	542	54.3	61.0	85.6
Somewhat easier	4	115	11.5	13.0	98.5
Far easier	5	13	1.3	1.5	100.0
Not applicable	8	26	2.6	Missing	
	9	85	8.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 888 Missing cases 111

Q21E Effect of boundary changes on voter turn

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far harder	1	28	2.8	3.2	3.2
Somewhat harder	2	162	16.2	18.3	21.4
No difference	3	561	56.2	63.3	84.8
Somewhat easier	4	123	12.3	13.9	98.6
Far easier	5	12	1.2	1.4	100.0
Not applicable	8	26	2.6	Missing	
	9	87	8.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 886 Missing cases 113

Q22A1 1st most important problem facing countr

Valid cases 131 Missing cases 868

Q22A2 2nd most important problem facing countr

Valid cases 127 Missing cases 872

Q22A3 3rd most important problem facing countr

Valid cases 129 Missing cases 870

Q22B1 1st legislative priority

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	6	1	.1	33.3	33.3
	90	1	.1	33.3	66.7
	402	1	.1	33.3	100.0
	.	941	94.2	Missing	
	888	1	.1	Missing	
	999	54	5.4	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 3 Missing cases 996

Q22B2 2nd legislative priority

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	5	1	.1	50.0	50.0
	326	1	.1	50.0	100.0
	.	939	94.0	Missing	
	888	1	.1	Missing	
	999	57	5.7	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 2 Missing cases 997

Q22B3 3rd legislative priority

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	89	1	.1	50.0	50.0
	374	1	.1	50.0	100.0
	.	936	93.7	Missing	
	888	1	.1	Missing	

		999	60	6.0	Missing
		Total	999	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	2	Missing cases	997		

Q23A Position of respondent on left-right sca

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Left	1	23	2.3	2.4	2.4
	2	77	7.7	8.1	10.5
	3	239	23.9	25.1	35.6
	4	200	20.0	21.0	56.7
	5	115	11.5	12.1	68.8
	6	56	5.6	5.9	74.7
	7	78	7.8	8.2	82.9
	8	116	11.6	12.2	95.1
	9	32	3.2	3.4	98.4
Right	10	15	1.5	1.6	100.0
	99	48	4.8	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	951	Missing cases	48		

Q23B Position of constituency party on left-r

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Left	1	6	.6	.6	.6
	2	74	7.4	7.8	8.4
	3	186	18.6	19.5	27.9
	4	173	17.3	18.1	46.0
	5	192	19.2	20.1	66.1
	6	60	6.0	6.3	72.4
	7	79	7.9	8.3	80.7
	8	108	10.8	11.3	92.0
	9	66	6.6	6.9	99.0
Right	10	10	1.0	1.0	100.0
	88	1	.1	Missing	
	99	44	4.4	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	954	Missing cases	45		

Q23C Position of parliamentary party on left-

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Left	1	1	.1	.1	.1
	2	20	2.0	2.1	2.2
	3	128	12.8	13.5	15.8
	4	263	26.3	27.8	43.6
	5	188	18.8	19.9	63.4
	6	128	12.8	13.5	77.0
	7	119	11.9	12.6	89.5
	8	76	7.6	8.0	97.6
	9	17	1.7	1.8	99.4

Right	10	6	.6	.6	100.0
	88	5	.5	Missing	
	99	48	4.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 946 Missing cases 53

Q23D Position of party leader on left-right s

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Left	1	1	.1	.1	.1
	2	17	1.7	1.8	1.9
	3	96	9.6	10.2	12.2
	4	233	23.3	24.9	37.0
	5	228	22.8	24.3	61.4
	6	149	14.9	15.9	77.3
	7	125	12.5	13.3	90.6
	8	62	6.2	6.6	97.2
	9	15	1.5	1.6	98.8
Right	10	11	1.1	1.2	100.0
	88	10	1.0	Missing	
	99	52	5.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 937 Missing cases 62

Q23E Position of party voters on left-right s

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Left	1	1	.1	.1	.1
	2	11	1.1	1.2	1.3
	3	90	9.0	9.5	10.7
	4	195	19.5	20.5	31.3
	5	271	27.1	28.5	59.8
	6	127	12.7	13.4	73.2
	7	114	11.4	12.0	85.2
	8	101	10.1	10.6	95.8
	9	33	3.3	3.5	99.3
Right	10	7	.7	.7	100.0
	88	1	.1	Missing	
	99	48	4.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 950 Missing cases 49

Q24A Position of respondent on governments ec

Valid cases 913 Missing cases 86

Q24B Position of constituency party on govts

Valid cases 910 Missing cases 89

Q24C	Position of parliamentary party on govts		
Valid cases	901	Missing cases	98

Q24D	Position of party leader on govts econom		
Valid cases	900	Missing cases	99

Q24E	Position of party voters on govts econom		
Valid cases	910	Missing cases	89

Q25A	Views of respondent on taxes and spendin		
Valid cases	953	Missing cases	46

Q25B	Views of constituency party on taxes and		
Valid cases	949	Missing cases	50

Q25C	Views of parliamentary party on taxes an		
Valid cases	939	Missing cases	60

Q25D	Views of party leader on taxes and spend		
Valid cases	936	Missing cases	63

Q25E	Views of party voters on taxes and spend		
Valid cases	946	Missing cases	53

Q26A	Views of respondent on nationalising pri		
Valid cases	965	Missing cases	34

Q26B	Views of constituency party on nationali		
Valid cases	958	Missing cases	41

Q26C	Views of parliamentary party on national		
Valid cases	951	Missing cases	48

Q26D	Views of party leader on nationalising p		
Valid cases	945	Missing cases	54

Q26E	Views of party voters on nationalising p		
Valid cases	955	Missing cases	44

Q27A	Views of respondent on EU		
Valid cases	965	Missing cases	34

Q27B	Views of constituency party on EU		
Valid cases	960	Missing cases	39

Q27C	Views of parliamentary party on EU		
Valid cases	952	Missing cases	47

Q27D	Views of party leader on EU		
Valid cases	945	Missing cases	54

Q27E	Views of party voters on EU		
Valid cases	954	Missing cases	45

Q28A	Views of respondent on womens rights		
Valid cases	959	Missing cases	40

Q28B	Views of constituency party on womens ri		
Valid cases	955	Missing cases	44

Q28C	Views of parliamentary party on womens r		
Valid cases	947	Missing cases	52

Q28D	Views of party leader on womens rights		

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Women have an equal	1	485	48.5	51.6	51.6
	2	133	13.3	14.1	65.7
	3	84	8.4	8.9	74.7
	4	63	6.3	6.7	81.4
	5	42	4.2	4.5	85.9
	6	74	7.4	7.9	93.7
	7	41	4.1	4.4	98.1
	8	11	1.1	1.2	99.3
	9	6	.6	.6	99.9
Womens role should b	11	1	.1	.1	100.0
	88	9	.9	Missing	
	99	50	5.0	Missing	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 940 Missing cases 59

Q28E Views of party voters on womens rights

Valid cases 952 Missing cases 47

Q29A Should govt: fund political parties?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	365	36.5	37.6	37.6
Probably should	2	241	24.1	24.8	62.5
Doesnt matter	3	27	2.7	2.8	65.3
Probably should not	4	102	10.2	10.5	75.8
Definitely should no	5	235	23.5	24.2	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	28	2.8	Missing	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 970 Missing cases 29

Q29B Should govt: establish a written constit

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	517	51.8	53.1	53.1
Probably should	2	135	13.5	13.9	67.0
Doesnt matter	3	46	4.6	4.7	71.7
Probably should not	4	101	10.1	10.4	82.1
Definitely should no	5	174	17.4	17.9	100.0
	9	26	2.6	Missing	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 973 Missing cases 26

Q29C Should govt: replace House of Lords w/2n

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	536	53.7	55.1	55.1
Probably should	2	132	13.2	13.6	68.7
Doesnt matter	3	24	2.4	2.5	71.1
Probably should not	4	68	6.8	7.0	78.1
Definitely should no	5	213	21.3	21.9	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	25	2.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 973 Missing cases 26

Q29D Should govt: introduce proportional repr

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	438	43.8	45.1	45.1
Probably should	2	117	11.7	12.0	57.1
Doesnt matter	3	36	3.6	3.7	60.8
Probably should not	4	87	8.7	9.0	69.8
Definitely should no	5	294	29.4	30.2	100.0
	9	27	2.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 972 Missing cases 27

Q29E Should govt: hold referendum on electora

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	322	32.2	33.1	33.1
Probably should	2	199	19.9	20.5	53.5
Doesnt matter	3	77	7.7	7.9	61.5
Probably should not	4	114	11.4	11.7	73.2
Definitely should no	5	261	26.1	26.8	100.0
	9	26	2.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 973 Missing cases 26

Q29F Should govt: get rid of private educatio

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	133	13.3	13.8	13.8
Probably should	2	155	15.5	16.0	29.8
Doesnt matter	3	167	16.7	17.3	47.1
Probably should not	4	158	15.8	16.4	63.5
Definitely should no	5	353	35.3	36.5	100.0
	9	33	3.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 966 Missing cases 33

Q29G Should govt: put more money into the NHS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	478	47.8	49.6	49.6
Probably should	2	314	31.4	32.6	82.2
Doesnt matter	3	57	5.7	5.9	88.2
Probably should not	4	85	8.5	8.8	97.0
Definitely should no	5	29	2.9	3.0	100.0
	9	36	3.6	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 963 Missing cases 36

Q29H Should govt: spend less on defence?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	236	23.6	24.4	24.4
Probably should	2	337	33.7	34.8	59.2
Doesnt matter	3	46	4.6	4.8	63.9
Probably should not	4	226	22.6	23.3	87.3
Definitely should no	5	123	12.3	12.7	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
	9	30	3.0	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 968 Missing cases 31

Q29I Should govt: move towards a single Europ

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	259	25.9	26.7	26.7
Probably should	2	344	34.4	35.5	62.2
Doesnt matter	3	33	3.3	3.4	65.6
Probably should not	4	98	9.8	10.1	75.7
Definitely should no	5	235	23.5	24.3	100.0
	9	30	3.0	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 969 Missing cases 30

Q29J Should govt: hold referendum on EU membe

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	157	15.7	16.2	16.2
Probably should	2	212	21.2	21.9	38.2
Doesnt matter	3	70	7.0	7.2	45.4
Probably should not	4	243	24.3	25.1	70.5

Definitely should no	5	285	28.5	29.5	100.0
	9	32	3.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 967 Missing cases 32

Q29K Should govt: bring back the death penalt

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	102	10.2	10.5	10.5
Probably should	2	84	8.4	8.7	19.2
Doesnt matter	3	18	1.8	1.9	21.0
Probably should not	4	69	6.9	7.1	28.1
Definitely should no	5	697	69.8	71.9	100.0
	9	29	2.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 970 Missing cases 29

Q29L Should govt: boost regulations on trade

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Definitely should	1	32	3.2	3.3	3.3
Probably should	2	110	11.0	11.4	14.7
Doesnt matter	3	149	14.9	15.4	30.1
Probably should not	4	283	28.3	29.2	59.3
Definitely should no	5	394	39.4	40.7	100.0
	9	31	3.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 968 Missing cases 31

Q30A Attempts to give equal opportunities to

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Gone much too far	1	23	2.3	2.4	2.4
Gone too far	2	60	6.0	6.2	8.5
About right	3	317	31.7	32.5	41.0
Not gone far enough	4	426	42.6	43.7	84.7
Not gone nearly far	5	149	14.9	15.3	100.0
	9	24	2.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 975 Missing cases 24

Q30B Availability of abortion on the NHS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

Gone much too far	1	65	6.5	6.7	6.7
Gone too far	2	139	13.9	14.3	21.1
About right	3	609	61.0	62.8	83.9
Not gone far enough	4	124	12.4	12.8	96.7
Not gone nearly far	5	32	3.2	3.3	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	29	2.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 969 Missing cases 30

Q30C Attempts to give equal opportunities to

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Gone much too far	1	29	2.9	3.0	3.0
Gone too far	2	59	5.9	6.1	9.0
About right	3	285	28.5	29.3	38.3
Not gone far enough	4	397	39.7	40.8	79.1
Not gone nearly far	5	203	20.3	20.9	100.0
	9	26	2.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 973 Missing cases 26

Q31 Opinion on nuclear weapons

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Britain keep own nuc	1	310	31.0	32.2	32.2
Britain keep nuclear	2	404	40.4	41.9	74.1
Britain should have	3	250	25.0	25.9	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	34	3.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 964 Missing cases 35

Q32 Long-term policy on the EU should be...

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
...to leave the EU	1	36	3.6	3.9	3.9
...to stay in the EU	2	298	29.8	32.2	36.1
...to leave things a	3	147	14.7	15.9	51.9
...to stay in the EU	4	322	32.2	34.8	86.7
...to work for a sin	5	123	12.3	13.3	100.0
	9	73	7.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 926 Missing cases 73

Q33 Views on a special parliament for Scotla

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Scotland... independ	1	10	1.0	1.0	1.0
Scotland... independ	2	103	10.3	10.7	11.7
Scotland... remain i	3	568	56.9	58.7	70.4
No change from prese	4	286	28.6	29.6	100.0
	9	32	3.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 967 Missing cases 32

Q34A Ordinary people get fair share of nation

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	61	6.1	6.2	6.2
Agree	2	172	17.2	17.6	23.8
Neither	3	80	8.0	8.2	32.0
Disagree	4	324	32.4	33.1	65.1
Disagree strongly	5	341	34.1	34.9	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	20	2.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 978 Missing cases 21

Q34B There is one law for rich, one for poor

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	278	27.8	28.5	28.5
Agree	2	341	34.1	35.0	63.6
Neither	3	76	7.6	7.8	71.4
Disagree	4	166	16.6	17.0	88.4
Disagree strongly	5	113	11.3	11.6	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	24	2.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 974 Missing cases 25

Q34C No need for strong trade unions to prote

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	49	4.9	5.0	5.0
Agree	2	136	13.6	13.9	18.9
Neither	3	117	11.7	12.0	30.9
Disagree	4	341	34.1	34.9	65.8
Disagree strongly	5	334	33.4	34.2	100.0
	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	20	2.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	

Total 999 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 977 Missing cases 22

Q34D Private enterprise is best way to solve

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	224	22.4	22.9	22.9
Agree	2	225	22.5	23.0	45.9
Neither	3	231	23.1	23.6	69.5
Disagree	4	204	20.4	20.8	90.3
Disagree strongly	5	95	9.5	9.7	100.0
	9	20	2.0	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 979 Missing cases 20

Q34E Major public services/industries should

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	99	9.9	10.1	10.1
Agree	2	241	24.1	24.6	34.7
Neither	3	203	20.3	20.7	55.4
Disagree	4	219	21.9	22.3	77.8
Disagree strongly	5	218	21.8	22.2	100.0
	9	19	1.9	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 980 Missing cases 19

Q34F

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	60	6.0	6.1	6.1
Agree	2	220	22.0	22.5	28.6
Neither	3	185	18.5	18.9	47.5
Disagree	4	310	31.0	31.7	79.2
Disagree strongly	5	203	20.3	20.8	100.0
	9	21	2.1	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 978 Missing cases 21

Q35A Young people lack respect for traditiona

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	85	8.5	8.7	8.7
Agree	2	284	28.4	29.2	38.0

Neither	3	280	28.0	28.8	66.8
Disagree	4	286	28.6	29.4	96.2
Disagree strongly	5	37	3.7	3.8	100.0
	9	27	2.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 972 Missing cases 27

Q35B Censorship necessary to uphold moral sta

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	55	5.5	5.7	5.7
Agree	2	337	33.7	34.6	40.3
Neither	3	151	15.1	15.5	55.8
Disagree	4	340	34.0	34.9	90.8
Disagree strongly	5	90	9.0	9.2	100.0
	9	26	2.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 973 Missing cases 26

Q35C People should be allowed to organize pro

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	551	55.2	56.1	56.1
Agree	2	405	40.5	41.2	97.4
Neither	3	11	1.1	1.1	98.5
Disagree	4	10	1.0	1.0	99.5
Disagree strongly	5	5	.5	.5	100.0
	9	17	1.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 982 Missing cases 17

Q35D Homosexual relations are always wrong

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	33	3.3	3.4	3.4
Agree	2	55	5.5	5.6	9.0
Neither	3	115	11.5	11.7	20.7
Disagree	4	321	32.1	32.7	53.4
Disagree strongly	5	457	45.7	46.6	100.0
	9	18	1.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 981 Missing cases 18

Q35E People should be tolerant of unconventio

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	310	31.0	31.8	31.8
Agree	2	457	45.7	46.9	78.7
Neither	3	141	14.1	14.5	93.1
Disagree	4	58	5.8	5.9	99.1
Disagree strongly	5	9	.9	.9	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	23	2.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	975	Missing cases	24		

Q35F Anti-democratic parties should be allowe

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	74	7.4	7.6	7.6
Agree	2	421	42.1	43.4	51.0
Neither	3	114	11.4	11.8	62.8
Disagree	4	192	19.2	19.8	82.6
Disagree strongly	5	169	16.9	17.4	100.0
	9	29	2.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	970	Missing cases	29		

Q36A Government should ensure that women have

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	422	42.2	43.2	43.2
Agree	2	421	42.1	43.1	86.3
Neither	3	83	8.3	8.5	94.8
Disagree	4	37	3.7	3.8	98.6
Disagree strongly	5	14	1.4	1.4	100.0
	9	22	2.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	977	Missing cases	22		

Q36B Men better suited for politics than wome

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	8	.8	.8	.8
Agree	2	53	5.3	5.4	6.2
Neither	3	125	12.5	12.8	19.0
Disagree	4	368	36.8	37.6	56.6
Disagree strongly	5	425	42.5	43.4	100.0
	9	20	2.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	979	Missing cases	20		

 Q36C Family life suffers when wife has full-t

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	23	2.3	2.4	2.4
Agree	2	198	19.8	20.3	22.7
Neither	3	180	18.0	18.5	41.1
Disagree	4	374	37.4	38.4	79.5
Disagree strongly	5	200	20.0	20.5	100.0
	9	24	2.4	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 975 Missing cases 24

 Q36D Being a housewife is just as fulfilling

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	65	6.5	6.8	6.8
Agree	2	277	27.7	28.9	35.6
Neither	3	310	31.0	32.3	67.9
Disagree	4	216	21.6	22.5	90.4
Disagree strongly	5	92	9.2	9.6	100.0
	9	39	3.9	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 960 Missing cases 39

 Q36E Husbands job is to earn money, wifes is

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree strongly	1	7	.7	.7	.7
Agree	2	48	4.8	4.9	5.6
Neither	3	106	10.6	10.9	16.5
Disagree	4	292	29.2	30.0	46.5
Disagree strongly	5	521	52.2	53.5	100.0
	9	25	2.5	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 974 Missing cases 25

 Q37A Most important aim of country

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Maintaining order	1	266	26.6	28.1	28.1
Giving people more s	2	473	47.3	50.0	78.1
Fighting rising pric	3	75	7.5	7.9	86.0
Protecting freedom o	4	132	13.2	14.0	100.0
	8	5	.5	Missing	
	9	48	4.8	Missing	

	Total	999	100.0	100.0
--	-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 946 Missing cases 53

Q37B Next most important aim of country

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Maintaining order	1	195	19.5	21.0	21.0
Giving people more s	2	147	14.7	15.8	36.9
Fighting rising pric	3	203	20.3	21.9	58.7
Protecting freedom o	4	383	38.3	41.3	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	70	7.0	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 928 Missing cases 71

Q38A Year of birth

Valid cases 987 Missing cases 12

Q38B Sex

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Male	1	802	80.3	80.8	80.8
Female	2	191	19.1	19.2	100.0
	9	6	.6	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 993 Missing cases 6

Q39 Marital status

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Married	1	678	67.9	68.4	68.4
Widowed/divorced/sep	2	94	9.4	9.5	77.9
Never married	3	218	21.8	22.0	99.9
Other	4	1	.1	.1	100.0
	9	8	.8	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 991 Missing cases 8

Q40A Number of children under 5

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
None	0	804	80.5	82.6	82.6
One child	1	123	12.3	12.6	95.3
Two children	2	43	4.3	4.4	99.7
Three children	3	2	.2	.2	99.9
More than three chil	4	1	.1	.1	100.0
	9	26	2.6	Missing	

Total	999	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 973 Missing cases 26

Q40B Number of children 5-15 yrs old

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
None	0	670	67.1	68.6	68.6
One child	1	129	12.9	13.2	81.9
Two children	2	129	12.9	13.2	95.1
Three children	3	41	4.1	4.2	99.3
More than three chil	4	6	.6	.6	99.9
	5	1	.1	.1	100.0
	9	23	2.3	Missing	

Total	999	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 976 Missing cases 23

Q41 Age when finishing full-time education

Valid cases 981 Missing cases 18

Q42A Education

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Primary school	1	3	.3	.3	.3
Secondary school	2	168	16.8	17.0	17.3
Other higher educati	3	105	10.5	10.6	27.9
University or polyte	4	713	71.4	72.1	100.0
	9	10	1.0	Missing	

Total	999	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 989 Missing cases 10

Q42B Oxford or Cambridge graduate?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	822	82.3	83.0	83.0
Yes	1	168	16.8	17.0	100.0
	9	9	.9	Missing	

Total	999	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 990 Missing cases 9

Q43 Type of last school attended full-time

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Grammar	1	324	32.4	32.8	32.8
Independent	2	286	28.6	29.0	61.8
Comprehensive	3	285	28.5	28.9	90.7
Secondary Modern or	4	92	9.2	9.3	100.0
	9	12	1.2	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 987 Missing cases 12

Q44A Member of trade union or staff associati

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	531	53.2	54.1	54.1
Yes	1	451	45.1	45.9	100.0
	9	17	1.7	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 982 Missing cases 17

Q44B Are you a union-sponsored candidate?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	895	89.6	93.1	93.1
Yes	1	66	6.6	6.9	100.0
	9	38	3.8	Missing	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 961 Missing cases 38

Q45 Year joined present political party

Valid cases 945 Missing cases 54

Q46 Employment status

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Full-time employment	1	825	82.6	83.0	83.0
Part-time employment	2	101	10.1	10.2	93.2
Unemployed	3	15	1.5	1.5	94.7
Retired	4	22	2.2	2.2	96.9

Full-time education	5	11	1.1	1.1	98.0
Looking after the ho	6	11	1.1	1.1	99.1
Other * *	7	9	.9	.9	100.0
	99	5	.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 994 Missing cases 5

Q47B Number of people supervised

Valid cases 821 Missing cases 178

Q47C Number of people employed by employer

Valid cases 808 Missing cases 191

Q47D Employee or self-employed?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.1	.1	.1
Employee	1	621	62.2	70.6	70.8
Self-employed	2	255	25.5	29.0	99.8
	99999	2	.2	.2	100.0
	.	2	.2	Missing	
	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	116	11.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 879 Missing cases 120

Q48 Work in public or private sector?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Public sector	1	337	33.7	36.4	36.4
Private sector	2	581	58.2	62.8	99.2
Other	3	6	.6	.6	99.9
	5	1	.1	.1	100.0
	.	2	.2	Missing	
	8	2	.2	Missing	
	9	70	7.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 925 Missing cases 74

Q49 Occupation

Valid cases 955 Missing cases 44

Q50 Social class

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
None	0	490	49.0	50.1	50.1
Upper	1	6	.6	.6	50.7
Upper middle	2	31	3.1	3.2	53.9
Middle	3	320	32.0	32.7	86.6
Lower middle	4	12	1.2	1.2	87.8
Upper working	5	6	.6	.6	88.4
Working	6	112	11.2	11.5	99.9
	10	1	.1	.1	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
	8	3	.3	Missing	
	9	17	1.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 978 Missing cases 21

Q51 Own or rent current accommodation?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Own property outright	1	194	19.4	19.8	19.8
Own property w/mortg	2	692	69.3	70.5	90.3
Rent from pvt landlo	3	83	8.3	8.5	98.8
Rent from local auth	4	12	1.2	1.2	100.0
	9	18	1.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 981 Missing cases 18

Q52 Ethnic group

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.1	.1	.1
White	1	969	97.0	98.0	98.1
Non-white	2	18	1.8	1.8	99.9
	4	1	.1	.1	100.0
	9	10	1.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 989 Missing cases 10

Q53 Religion

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Protestant	1	475	47.5	48.2	48.2
Catholic	2	119	11.9	12.1	60.2
Other religion	3	66	6.6	6.7	66.9
None	4	326	32.6	33.1	100.0
	8	1	.1	Missing	
	9	12	1.2	Missing	

 Total 999 100.0 100.0
 Valid cases 986 Missing cases 13

 Q54 Religious service attendance

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Once a week	1	150	15.0	15.2	15.2
Once a month	2	193	19.3	19.5	34.6
Once a year	3	342	34.2	34.5	69.2
Less often	4	149	14.9	15.1	84.2
Never	5	156	15.6	15.8	100.0
	9	9	.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 990 Missing cases 9

 Q55A3 Region at 14 yrs old

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	.	998	99.9	Missing	
	99	1	.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 0 Missing cases 999

 Q55B3 Current region

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	.	998	99.9	Missing	
	99	1	.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 0 Missing cases 999

 Q56 Total household income

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Less than 10,000	1	38	3.8	3.9	3.9
10,000 - 20,000	2	112	11.2	11.5	15.4
20,000 - 30,000	3	189	18.9	19.4	34.9
30,000 - 40,000	4	171	17.1	17.6	52.5
40,000 - 50,000	5	158	15.8	16.3	68.7
50,000 - 60,000	6	101	10.1	10.4	79.1
60,000 - 70,000	7	47	4.7	4.8	84.0
70,000 - 80,000	8	45	4.5	4.6	88.6
80,000 - 90,000	9	14	1.4	1.4	90.0

More than 90,000	10	97	9.7	10.0	100.0
	99	27	2.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 972 Missing cases 27

Q57A Willing to help project further?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	265	26.5	26.7	26.7
Yes	1	726	72.7	73.3	100.0
	9	8	.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 991 Missing cases 8

Q58 Interested in summary of results?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
No	0	73	7.3	7.3	7.3
Yes	1	921	92.2	92.7	100.0
	.	1	.1	Missing	
	9	4	.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	999	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 994 Missing cases 5